

GURVITCH EXPERIMENTS REVISITED

Yolene Thomas, Nicolas Perriere, Chantal Petit, Jean Emmanuel Gilbert

Institut Andre Lwoff IFR89, Villejuif, France

yolene@noos.fr

Conference on the Physics, Chemistry, & Biology of Water 2009

One of the accepted paradigms is that molecules interact with target systems via various physicochemical forces. For instance, the action of a molecule involves interaction with its receptor, to trigger a cascade of biochemical events that activate biological functions. Within this paradigm, the presence of the molecule is necessary. One question is whether a chemical reaction between for instance a protein and its receptor protein is needed, or whether this interaction does not require that the molecule actually come into physical contact to activate the receptor protein (1-3)

Others experiments related to non-chemical and non-contact communication between biological systems can be traced back to 1920 [4]. A. Gurvitch showed that onions kept closely together stimulate growth of each other's roots. He separated the roots by encasing them in different materials and showed that this was not simply a chemical influence. Further, the effects of growth stimulation occurred when quartz was used but not UV-opaque glass. Since then, there is an increasing body of evidence to suggest unconventional forms of intercellular communication. For instance, in 1992 G. Albrecht-Buehler observed that distant cells align themselves in culture media through cell to cell communication (5). Together, these phenomena suggest the existence of non-chemical signal(s) that convey messages to or between cells.

New experimental studies related to distant non-chemical communication using different cells models will be presented. We will discuss the current state of knowledge and envision different hypotheses regarding the nature of these "informational signals".

1. Thomas, Y., Schiff, M., Belkadi, L., Kahhah, L., Jurgens, P., J. Benveniste (2000). Activation of human neutrophils by electronically transmitted phorbol-myristate acetate. *Medical Hypotheses*, 54 (1), 33
2. Ninham BW, Bostrom M (2005) Building bridges between the physical and biological sciences. *Cell Mol Biol. Dec 16;51(8):803*
3. Thomas Y, Kahhak L, J Aissa (2006). The physical nature of the biological signal, a puzzling phenomenon. In, *Water and the Cell*, 2006; pp 325. Ed. G. H. Pollack, I. L. Cameron and D. N. Wheatley (Springer, Dordrecht).
4. Gurvitch, A.G. (1926), *Das Problem der Zellteilung Physiologisch Betrachtet*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
5. G. Albrecht-Buehler, (1992) Rudimentary form of cellular "vision", *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S. A.* 89 8288.